



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,937	903,937 07/12/2001		Matthew Edward Aubertine	AUS920000329US1/1753P 1980	
	7590	10/05/2004		EXAM	INER
SAWYER L P.O. Box 514	-	OUP		FOWLKES, ANDRE R	
Palo Alto, CA 94303				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2122	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Ali					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/903,937 AUBERTINE, MATTHEW EDWARD					
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of the	Andre R. Fowlkes	2122				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. It the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Oc	otobor 2001					
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>		association as to the morite is				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	x parto Quaylo, 1000 O.D. 11, 4	00 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>10,12 and 15</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 October 2001</u> is/are:		I to by the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		, ,				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	ion No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmont/s)						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO 412)				
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2122

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-18 are pending.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 10, 12 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - "The system of claim 10", should be -The system of claim 7- in claim 10, line 1.
 - -"The method of claim 5", should be -The system of claim 11- in claim 12, line 1.
- "The computer readable medium of claim 131", should be —The computer readable medium of claim 13— in claim 15, line 1.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gross, et al., (Gross), "Parallel Compilation for a Parallel Machine", ACM, 0-8791-306-X.

As per claim 1, Gross discloses a method for optimizing the use of a plurality of processors when compiling a program in a computer system, (p. 91 col. R:10-

Art Unit: 2122

13, "Our need to speedup compilation ... (on) a parallel system, ... led us to investigate parallel compilation"), the method comprising the steps of:

- (a) providing a list of directories and a list of processors (p. 93 col. R:24-p. 94 col. L:13, "The number of processes on the function level, called *function masters* is equal to the total number of functions in the program (i.e. directories containing parts of the code to be compiled) ... The section masters attempt to distribute the function masters to different workstations (i.e. processors, from a list of processors)"),
- (b) assigning a directory to a next available processor in an ordered manner to allow the next available processor to compile at least one file within the directory (p. 94 col. L:56-58, "we adopt a simple first-come-first served strategy that distributes the tasks over the available processors"),
- (c) repeating step (b) to ensure that the maximum number of directories can be compiled (p. 94 col. L:56-58, "we adopt a simple first-come-first served strategy that distributes the tasks over the available processors", this step essentially performs repeatedly, as processors finish their tasks and, again, become available).

As per claim 2, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Gross discloses that the assigning step (b) further includes the step of (b1) obtaining a directory in which all dependencies have been satisfied (p. 94 col. R:34-36, "Each (group of files, i.e. directory) ... can be compiled separately after the object files on the dependency list have been generated (i.e. the system only works, as intended, if

Art Unit: 2122

processors are assigned directories of files to compile, in which all dependencies have been satisfied").

As per claim 3, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Gross discloses that the assigning step (b) further includes the step of (b1), updating the list of processors and the list of directories based upon the assignment of the directory (p. 94 col. L:56-58, "we adopt a simple first-come-first served strategy that distributes the tasks (i.e. code in directories, to be compiles) over the available processors", and to implement this strategy, the system is aware of the current state of the processors, the state of their current tasks as well as the tasks yet to be accomplished).

As per claim 4, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Gross discloses that the assigning step (b) further includes the step of (b1) providing a directory update mechanism for assigning the directories in the ordered manner (p. 94 col. L:56-58, "we adopt a simple first-come-first served strategy that distributes the tasks over the available processors").

As per claim 5, the rejection of claim 4 is incorporated and further, Gross discloses that providing an update mechanism step (b1) further comprises the steps of:

Art Unit: 2122

(b11) providing an array of dependency changes (p. 94 col. R:32-34, "The input to parallel make ... explicitly specifies dependencies (and dependency changes) between modules"),

(b12) merging the dependency changes array with a master array of changes (p. 94 col. R:32-34, "The input to parallel make ... explicitly specifies dependencies (and dependency changes) between modules").

As per claim 6, the rejection of claim 5 is incorporated and further, Gross discloses that the merging step (b12) comprises the steps of:

(b121) obtaining a dependency change from the dependency changes array (p. 94 col. R:32-34, "The input to parallel make ... explicitly specifies dependencies (and dependency changes) between modules"),

(b122) determining whether the dependency change is in a directory in the master array (p. 94 col. R:32-34, "The input to parallel make (is a make file that)... explicitly specifies dependencies (and dependency changes) between modules", and the changed make file is be compared with the original make file),

(b123) updating the directory in the master array of the dependency change in a directory of the master array (p. 94 col. R:32-34, "The input to parallel make (is a make file that) ... explicitly specifies dependencies (and dependency changes) between modules", and the master/original make file is updated),

(b124) adding dependency change to the master array in a new directory if the dependency change is not in a directory of the master array (p. 94 col. R:32-34,

Art Unit: 2122

"The input to parallel make (is a make file that)... explicitly specifies dependencies (and dependency changes) between modules", and the updated make file is uses to specify updated dependencies),

(b125) determining if there is another dependency change in the dependency changes array after either step (b123) or step (b124) (p. 94 col. R:32-34, "The input to parallel make ... explicitly specifies dependencies (and dependency changes) between modules"),

(b126) repeating steps (b121)-(b125) until all dependency changes have been obtained from the dependency change array (p. 94 col. R:32-34, "The input to parallel make ... explicitly specifies dependencies (and dependency changes) between modules", the processes is repeated in order to have all the dependencies specified).

As per claims 7-12, this is a system version of the claimed method discussed above, in claims 1-6, wherein all claimed limitations have also been addressed and/or cited as set forth above. For example, see Gross's new compiler (p. 91, col. L:3-8).

As per claims 13-18, this is a computer readable medium/product version of the claimed method discussed above, in claims 1-6, wherein all claimed limitations have also been addressed and/or cited as set forth above. Additionally, such a product is deemed to be inherent in the Gross system, otherwise, it would be inoperative.

Art Unit: 2122

Conclusion

Page 7

4. After October 25, 2004, the examiner can be reached at new telephone number (571) 272-3697, and the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (571) 272-3695.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre R. Fowlkes whose telephone number is (703)305-8889. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (703)305-4552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ARF

TUAN DAM
TUAN DAMMER
TUAN DAMMER